REMARKS

A. <u>The Amendments</u>

Following entry of the above amendments, claims 42-61 are pending in this case. Claims 25-29 and 34-37 have been canceled. New claims 42-61 have been added. Claims 42-61 are fully supported throughout the application and no new matter has been added. The following are (non-exhaustive) examples of where support for claims 42-61 is found in the application: Claim 42: page 19, line 35 through page 21, line 59; page 38, line 48 through page 41, line 55; page 55, lines 30-31; page 57, lines 21-23; Claim 43: page 66, line 17; Claim 44: page 65, lines 16-17; Claim 45: page 19, line 35 through page 21, line 59; page 38, line 48 through page 41, line 55; page 46, line 24 through page 47, line 2; page 55, lines 30-31; page 57, lines 21-23; Claim 46: page 66, line 17; Claim 47: page 65, lines 16-17; Claim 48: page 15, line 6; page 55, line 11; Claim 49: page 46, lines 32-33; Claim 50: page 66, line 17; Claim 51: page 65, lines 16-17; Claim 52: page 46, lines 34-35; Claim 53: page 46, lines 35-36; Claim 54: page 19, line 35 through page 21, line 59; page 38, line 48 through page 41, line 55; page 52, line 12; page 55, lines 30-31; page 57, lines 21-23; Claim 55: page 52, line 12; Claim 56: page 66, line 17; Claim 57: page 65, lines 16-17; Claim 58: page 15, line 6; page 55, line 11; Claim 59: page 55, line 8; Claim 60: page 66, line 17; Claim 61: page 65, lines 16-17.

The specification has been amended to recite the full claim of priority as submitted with the filing of the instant application. No new matter has been added. Applicants submit that newly added claims 42-61 are in condition for immediate allowance. The following remarks address the Examiner's comments in the Office Action in the order presented in the Office Action.

B. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph

Claims 25-29 and 34-37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for lack of written description, alleging that the inventors did not have possession of the invention. Claims 25-29 and 34-37 have been canceled with entry of this Amendment.

Without acquiescing in this rejection and the reasons given therefor, Applicants respectfully submit that new claims 42-61 are fully described in the application. Applicants request withdrawal of this rejection.

C. Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejections

Claims 25-29, 34, 36, 37 were rejected for obviousness-type double patenting. Claims 25-29, 34, 36, 37 have been canceled and new claims 42-61 added. Applicants submit that once allowable subject matter is indicated, Applicants will file any necessary terminal disclaimer.

D. Priority

The Office Action requests an amendment of the specification to include reference to all applications to which priority is claimed. Applicants have amended the specification accordingly. Applicants further point out that they submitted an Application Data Sheet pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.76 as part of the filing of the instant application and that the Application Data Sheet submitted includes a claim of priority as requested by the Office Action. Accordingly, the Filing Receipt issued by the Patent Office in this case includes all priority applications. Applicants request withdrawal of this rejection.

E. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 25-29 and 34-37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly obvious over Zhang *et al.*, 1997. Without acquiescing to the rejection and the reasons given therefor, Applicants respectfully submit that the Zhang *et al.* reference is not available for consideration under Section 103 in view of the priority of the instant application. Applicants request withdrawal of this rejection.

F. Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that pending claims 42-61 are in condition for immediate allowance. The undersigned invites the Examiner to call (650) 838-4382

with any questions or comments. The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any deficiency in fees herein to Deposit Account No. 50-2207.

Respectfully submitted,

Perkins Coie LLA

Norbert Stahl

Registration No. 44,350

50.0

Correspondence Address:

Customer No. 22918
Perkins Coie LLP
P.O. Box 2168
Menlo Park, California 94026